## Our Recruitment Data

Glasgow City Council is committed to developing and improving the recruitment process in order to present a workforce that represents the diverse population of Glasgow. The data below shows us who is applying for positions with the council, and who is successful in obtaining a position, as well as helping us to identify where along the recruitment process candidates may be experiencing barriers.


## Disability

Applications:


Interviews:


617
5716
1190


169
2406
724
\% of Applicants Hired

20\%

## Applicants with Disabilities

The number of non teaching jobs posted by March 2022 (3763) was almost three times the number of jobs posted the previous year (1293). The total number of applicants rose from $\mathbf{1 5 , 6 2 8}$ to $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 9 9}$. The percentage of applicants with disabilities to be appointed also rose in 2022 in comparison to 2021


Applications


Appointments

\% of Applicants Hired

Ethnicity


## Breakdown of BME Recruitment



## BME Applicants

*taken from applicants who disclosed their ethnicity


## Religion or Belief

Below are the top 5 most represented religious groups from the application stage to the appointment stage. The percentage represented across each stage for these five groups remained consistent, tending to fall as the number of candidates were narrowed down, however in the case of both "Other Christian", and "Church of Scotland" the percentage rose.


Recruitment across Religious Groups:

|  | Buddhist | Church of Scotland | Hindu | Jewish | Muslim | Other Christian | Roman Catholic | Sikh | Pagan | Another Religion | None | NonDisclosed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applications | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \% \\ & (47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.3 \% \\ & (2851) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \\ & (132) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \% \\ & (23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (837) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \% \\ & (979) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \% \\ & (5887) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \% \\ & (107) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \% \\ & (31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \% \\ & (219) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41.8 \% \\ (11,568) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.1 \% \\ & (5018) \end{aligned}$ |
| Interviews | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \% \\ & (14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \% \\ & (767) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \% \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \% \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \% \\ & (161) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (215) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.9 \% \\ & (1648) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \% \\ (31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \% \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.6 \% \\ & (3055) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.2 \% \\ & (1522) \end{aligned}$ |
| Appointments | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \% \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.3 \% \\ & (274) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \% \\ \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $0.1 \%$ <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \% \\ (718) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \% \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \% \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \% \\ & (41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.6 \% \\ & (1241) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.2 \% \\ (864) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\%$ of Applicants Hired | 6.4\% | 9.6\% | 2.3\% | 4.3\% | 7.9\% | 7.8\% | 12.2\% | 6.5\% | 12.9\% | 18.7\% | 10.7\% | 17.2\% |

Sexual Orientation

Applications:


Interviews:


Appointments::

\% of Applicants Hired


9\%
10.8\%
7.6\%
5.1\%
19.1\%

## LGB* Applicants


*The data here has been collected from applicants who identified themselves as either Lesbian, Gay, or Bisexual.

2022 saw a lower percentage of LGB applicants for roles than the previous year, inconsistent with applications from disabled and BME candidates, which each saw an increase from the previous year.

Gender


Non-Disclosed


